

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/620,161	07/15/2003	Kenneth Branth	5646-106	9516
20792	7590 02/03/2006		EXAMINER	
MYERS BIGEL SIBLEY & SAJOVEC PO BOX 37428			PEUGH, BRIAN R	
RALEIGH, NC 27627			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			2187	

DATE MAILED: 02/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
060 - 4 - 41 - 10	10/620,161	BRANTH ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Brian R. Peugh	2187			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 No. 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5)  Claim(s) 1-11 and 13-24 is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 12 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceeding a complex of the propers are subjected to by the Examiner and the propers are subjected to by the Examiner and the propers are subjected to by the Examiner and the propers are subjected to by the Examiner and the propers are subjected to by the Examiner and the properties are subjected to by the Examiner and the properties are subjected to by the Examiner and the properties are subjected to by the Examiner and the properties are subjected to by the Examiner and the properties are subjected to by the Examiner and the properties are subjected to by the Examiner and the properties are subjected to by the Examiner and the properties are subjected to by the Examiner and the properties are subjected to by the Examiner and the properties are subjected and the properties are subjected as a subject and the properties are subjected as a subject and the properties are subjected as a subject and the properties are subject as a subj	election requirement.  T.  Epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is objected to be the drawing(s).	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Dal 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

This Office Action is in response to applicant's communication filed November 25, 2005 in response to PTO Office Action dated November 18, 2005. The applicant's remarks and amendment to the specification and/or claims were considered with the results that follow.

Claims 1-24 have been presented for examination in this application. In response to the last Office Action, claims 13-15 have been amended.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Srinivason et al. (US# 6,240,485).

Regarding claim 12, Srinivason et al. teaches a method of operating a cascaded chain of CAM devices (abs., lines 1-3), comprising the step of: performing a learn operation in the cascaded chain of CAM devices (col. 14, line 58 – col. 15, line 3) by

Art Unit: 2187

passing a learn instruction through a plurality of CAM devices (col. 15, lines 19-20) in the cascaded chain using a distributed control architecture that offsets timing of learn operations within each of the plurality of CAM devices (col. 15, lines 59-61; col. 16, lines 16-26).

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-11 and 13-24 are allowed over the prior art of record.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed November 25, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's arguments are directed towards:

Applicants submit that Claim 12 is not only clearly distinguishable from the '485 patent, but Claim 12 is also distinguishable from the admitted prior art described by FIGS. 2A-2B of the present application. This is because neither the '485 patent nor the admitted prior art of FIGS. 2A-2B discloses or suggests a "distributed control architecture" within a cascaded chain of CAM chips that support offset timing of learn operations, as recited by Claim 12. This architecture is described more fully throughout the present application. (See, e.g., page 9, lines 8-17).

The Examiner disagrees. The "distributed control architecture" as claimed corresponds to the cascading CAMS themselves, in that the CAMS control the movement of the LEARN operation between themselves in accordance with the various control signals both input and output amongst them. The offset timing of the learn

Page 4

Art Unit: 2187

operation is seen by way of the match comparison of the signals that are output and asserted from one CAM and input to a second CAM of the three CAMs (Fig. 13; see also col. 15, line 65 – col. 16, line 51).

### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian R. Peugh whose telephone number is (571) 272-4199. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:00am to 4:30pm. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Friday's from 7:00am to 4:30pm.

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Donald Sparks, can be reached on (571) 272-4201. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-2100.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Brian R. Péugh Primary Examiner

January *3*0, 2006